

December 10, 2012

Mr. Jason Gunter Remedial Project Manager U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 7 - Superfund Branch 901 North 5<sup>th</sup> Street Kansas City, KS 66101

Re: National Mine Tailings Site Progress Report

Dear Mr. Gunter:

As required by Article VI, Section 51 of the Unilateral Administrative Order (Docket No.CERCLA-07-2006-0231) for the referenced project and on behalf of The Doe Run Company and NL Industries, Inc., the progress report for the period August 1, 2012 through August 31, 2012 is enclosed. If you have any questions or comments, please call me at 573-638-5020 or Mark Nations at 573-518-0600.

Sincerely,

Ty L. Morris, P.E., R.G.

Vice President

TLM/jms Enclosure

c: Mark Nations - TDRC

Matt Wohl - TDRC (electronic only)

Kevin Lombardozzi – NL Industries, Inc.

John Kennedy - City of Park Hills

Norm Lucas - Park Hills - Leadington Chamber of Commerce

Kathy Rangen - MDNR

Tim Skoglund - Barr Engineering

OTCR

40408407 Superfund

ouod

4.2

#### **National Mine Tailings Site**

Park Hills, Missouri

### Removal Action - Monthly Progress Report

Period: August 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

#### 1. Actions Performed and Problems Encountered This Period:

- a. Work at the site continued on the West Area. This work focused on the task of rocking the area that had been surveyed. This included placing a 6-inch layer of crushed rock filter on the graded surface and a 12-inch layer of slope riprap on top of the crushed rock filter. As of the end of the period, work on this task continued.
- b. Work at the site began on the task of repairing Commerce Drive. This work focused on the task of removing the asphalt so that the road could be repaved by the City of Park Hills. As of the end of the period, the existing asphalt had been removed. As it was not possible to remove this material without removing the chat and tailings below the asphalt, this material was placed in the disposal area located on the southwest side of the West Area. It is anticipated that the City of Park Hills will proceed with paving activities in the near future.
- c. Work at the site also continued on the Mine Shaft Area. This work focused on the investigation of the area to determine what work needs to be completed. As of the end of the period, it was determined that due to the lack of observable mine waste on the site and the extensive nature of vegetative cover no additional removal activities were needed. However, the inspection did note that the concrete structure believed to be mine shaft needs some repair. These findings will be discussed with the EPA project coordinator during a visit next period.
- d. Work at the site continued on the task of meeting with the landowners who may be affected by the removal action activities. This included meeting with landowners who signed an access agreement prior to April 1, 2008, which needed to be amended, as well as landowners who have not signed agreements. As of the end of the period, the following had been accomplished:

#### Landowners that own property within the site boundary

Total number of landowners = 22

Landowners who signed an access agreement prior to 04/01/08 = 18

Landowners who signed an access agreement after 04/01/08 = 1

Landowners who are reviewing the access agreement = 3

Landowners who have refused to sign the access agreement = 0

Landowners who still need to be met with concerning the access agreement = 0

Total number of landowners who need to sign the amendment letter = 18

Landowners who have signed the amendment letter = 16

Landowners who are reviewing the amendment letter = 1

Landowners who refused to sign the amendment letter = 0

Landowners who still need to be met with concerning the amendment letter = 1

(Changes in the total number of landowners and the total number of landowners who need to sign the amendment letter are as a result of sales that occurred since the meetings with the landowners began.)

#### Landowners that own property immediately adjacent to the site boundary

Total number of landowners = 27

Landowners who signed an access agreement prior to 04/01/08 = 11

Landowners who signed an access agreement after 04/01/08 = 6

Landowners who are reviewing the access agreement = 4

Landowners who have refused to sign the access agreement = 3

Landowners who still need to be met with concerning the access agreement = 3

Total number of landowners who need to sign the amendment letter = 11

Landowners who have signed the amendment letter = 11

Landowners who are reviewing the amendment letter = 0

Landowners who refused to sign the amendment letter = 0

Landowners who still need to be met with concerning the amendment letter = 0

(It is not anticipated that it will be a challenge to work around the property owned by the three landowners that refused to sign the access agreement based on location of the property in relationship to the work that needs to be completed. Changes in the total number of landowners and the total number of landowners who need to sign the amendment letter are as a result of sales that occurred since the meetings with the landowners began.)

#### 2. Analytical Data and Results Received This Period:

- a. During this period, water samples were collected at the sampling locations identified in Appendix C of the Removal Action Work Plan where water was present. Copies of the analytical results from the last sampling event are included with this progress report.
- b. During this period, the Ambient Air Monitoring Reports for June 2012 and Second Quarter 2012 were received. Any issues identified in these reports are discussed below. A copy of these documents has been sent to your attention.

The June 2012 Ambient Air Monitoring Report noted the following:

- The action levels for lead and dust were not exceeded.
- No samples were taken with the Big River #4 QA TSP monitor on 06/07/12 due to mechanical failure. Upon discovery, the issue was corrected.
- No TSP monitors samples were taken on 06/28/12 due to the remediation crew being in training.

The Second Quarter 2012 Ambient Air Monitoring Report noted the following:

- The action levels for lead and dust were not exceeded.
- No samples were taken with the Big River #4 PM<sub>10</sub> monitor on 04/21/12 due to mechanical failure. Upon discovery, the issue was corrected.
- No samples were taken with the National #2 (Soccer Field) TSP monitor on 04/24/12 due to mechanical failure. Upon discovery, the issue was corrected.
- There was a QA blank filter associated with the National #1 (Ozark Insulation) TSP and PM<sub>10</sub> monitors on 04/30/12.
- No samples were taken with the TSP monitors on 05/28/12 due to the holiday.
- No samples were taken with the Big River #4 QA TSP monitor on 06/07/12 due to mechanical failure. Upon discovery, the issue was corrected.
- No TSP monitors samples were taken on 06/28/12 due to the remediation crew being in training.

#### 3. Developments Anticipated and Work Scheduled for Next Period:

- a. Complete work in the Mine Shaft Area.
- b. Finish rocking the West Area.
- c. Complete monthly water sampling activities as described in the Removal Action Work Plan.
- d. Complete air monitoring activities as described in the Removal Action Work Plan.
- e. Begin demobilization activities.

#### 4. Changes in Personnel:

a. None.

#### 5. Issues or Problems Arising This Period:

a. None.

### 6. Resolution of Issues or Problems Arising This Period:

a. None.

### **End of Monthly Progress Report**

AP ACCRED

WorkOrder: 12080803



September 04, 2012

Allison Olds Barr Engineering Company 1001 Diamond Ridge Suite 1100 Jefferson City, MO 65109

TEL: (573) 638-5007 FAX: (573) 638-5001

RE: National MTS-25/86-0003

Dear Allison Olds:

TEKLAB, INC received 1 sample on 8/17/2012 11:47:00 AM for the analysis presented in the following report.

Samples are analyzed on an as received basis unless otherwise requested and documented. The sample results contained in this report relate only to the requested analytes of interest as directed on the chain of custody. NELAP accredited fields of testing are indicated by the letters NELAP under the Certification column. Unless otherwise documented within this report, Teklab Inc. analyzes samples utilizing the most current methods in compliance with 40CFR. All tests are performed in the Collinsville, IL laboratory unless otherwise noted in the Case Narrative.

All quality control criteria applicable to the test methods employed for this project have been satisfactorily met and are in accordance with NELAP except where noted. The following report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Teklab, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Austin

Project Manager

(618)344-1004 ex 16

MAustin@teklabinc.com



## **Report Contents**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12080803

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Report Date: 04-Sep-12

### This reporting package includes the following:

Cover Letter	1
Report Contents	2
Definitions	3
Case Narrative	4
Laboratory Results	5
Sample Summary	6
Dates Report	7
Quality Control Results	8
Receiving Check List	13
Chain of Custody	Appended



#### **Definitions**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12080803

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Report Date: 04-Sep-12

#### Abbr Definition

CCV Continuing calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument between recalibration.

DF Dilution factor is the dilution performed during analysis only and does not take into account any dilutions made during sample preparation. The reported result is final and includes all dilutions factors.

DNI Did not ignite

- DUP Laboratory duplicate is an aliquot of a sample taken from the same container under laboratory conditions for independent processing and analysis independently of the original aliquot.
- ICV Initial calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument before sample analysis is initiated.
- IDPH IL Dept. of Public Health
- LCS Laboratory control sample, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes, is analyzed exactly like a sample to establish intra-laboratory or analyst specific precision and bias or to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system. The acceptable recovery range is in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- LCSD Laboratory control sample duplicate is a replicate laboratory control sample that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
  - MB Method blank is a sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated sample (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences should present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
- MDL Method detection limit means the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix type containing the analyte.
- MS Matrix spike is an aliquot of matrix fortified (spiked) with known quantities of specific analytes that is subjected to the entire analytical procedures in order to determine the effect of the matrix on an approved test method's recovery system. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MSD Matrix spike duplicate means a replicate matrix spike that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MW Molecular weight
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

#### NELAP NELAP Accredited

- PQL Practical quantitation limit means the lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operation conditions. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- RL The reporting limit the lowest level that the data is displayed in the final report. The reporting limit may vary according to customer request or sample dilution. The reporting limit may not be less than the MDL.
- RPD Relative percent difference is a calculated difference between two recoveries (ie. MS/MSD). The acceptable recovery limit is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- SPK The spike is a known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery deficiency or for other quality control nurroses
- Surr Surrogates are compounds which are similar to the analytes of interest in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples.
- TNTC Too numerous to count ( > 200 CFU )

#### Qualifiers

- # Unknown hydrocarbon
- E Value above quantitation range
- M Manual Integration used to determine area response
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

- B Analyte detected in associated Method Blank
- H Holding times exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
  - S Spike Recovery outside recovery limits



### **Case Narrative**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Work Order: 12080803

Report Date: 04-Sep-12

Client: Barr Engineering Company
Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Cooler Receipt Temp: 3.6 °C

### **Locations and Accreditations**

	Collinsville			Springfield			Kansas City			
Address	5445 Horseshoe Lake Road	1	Address	3920 Pintail Dr		Address	8421 Nieman Road			
	Collinsville, IL 62234-7425			Springfield, IL 6271	1-9415		Lenexa, KS 66214			
Phone	(618) 344-1004	1	Phone	(217) 698-1004		Phone	(913) 541-1998			
Fax	(618) 344-1005	I	Fax	(217) 698-1005		Fax	(913) 541-1998			
Email	jhriley@teklabinc.com	Į.	Email	kmcclain@teklabino	c.com	Email	dthompson@teklabinc.com			
State		Dept		Cert #	NELAP	Exp Date	Lab			
Illinois	<u> </u>	IEPA		100226	NELAP	1/31/2013	Collinsville			
Kansas	s	KDHE		E-10374	NELAP	1/31/2013	Collinsville			
Louisia	ana	LDEQ		166493	NELAP	6/30/2013	Collinsville			
Louisia	ana	LDEQ		166578	NELAP	6/30/2013	Springfield			
Texas		TCEQ		T104704515-12-1	NELAP	7/31/2013	Collinsville			
Arkans	sas	ADEQ		88-0966		3/14/2013	Collinsville			
Illinois	3	IDPH		17584		4/30/2013	Collinsville			
Kentuc	cky	UST		0073		5/26/2013	Collinsville			
Missou	ıri	MDNR		00930		4/13/2013	Collinsville			
Oklaho	oma	ODEQ		9978		8/31/2013	Collinsville			



## **Laboratory Results**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12080803

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Report Date: 04-Sep-12

Lab ID: 12080803-001

Client Sample ID: Nat-East

Matrix: AQUEOUS

Collection Date: 08/16/2012 12:20

Analyses	Certification	RL	Qual	Result	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA 600 375.2 REV 2.0 199	93 (TOTAL)							
Sulfate	NELAP	50	S	225	mg/L	5	08/21/2012 12:14	R167173
MS and/or MSD did not recover	within control limits due to i	matrix interfer	ence.					
STANDARD METHOD 4500	-H B, LABORATORY A	NALYZED						
Lab pH		1.00		8.00		1	08/17/2012 14:45	R167049
STANDARD METHODS 234	40 C							
Hardness, as ( CaCO3 )		5		480	mg/L	1	08/17/2012 13:45	R167060
STANDARD METHODS 254	40 C (TOTAL)					669 861		
Total Dissolved Solids	The second secon	20		550	mg/L	1	08/17/2012 13:11	R167104
STANDARD METHODS 254	10 D							
Total Suspended Solids	44 min arma de ano. E mini de primario meggio de participar e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	6		< 6	mg/L	1	08/20/2012 12:50	R167077
STANDARD METHODS 254	10 F							
Solids, Settleable		0.1	pulling party amount and an	< 0.1	ml/L	1	08/17/2012 12:57	R167009
STANDARD METHODS 531	10 C, ORGANIC CARBO	N						
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)		1.0		< 1.0	mg/L	1	08/20/2012 23:07	R167121
EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4, N	METALS BY ICP (DISSO	LVED)						
Cadmium	NELAP	2.00	WINE THE KNOWN PRODUCTION	< 2.00	µg/L	1	09/04/2012 10:05	80707
Zinc	NELAP	10.0		24.4	μg/L	1	09/04/2012 10:05	80707
EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R4.4, N	METALS BY ICP (TOTAL	L)						
Cadmium	NELAP	2.00	and the second second second	< 2.00	µg/L	1	09/01/2012 22:59	80712
Zinc	NELAP	10.0		26.1	µg/L	1	09/01/2012 22:59	80712
STANDARD METHODS 30	30 E, 3113 B, METALS I	BY GFAA						
Lead		2.00	X	7.41	µg/L	1	08/20/2012 13:24	80718
STANDARD METHODS 303	30 B, 3113 B, METALS E	BY GFAA (D	ISSOLVE	D)				
Lead	ACCOUNT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	4.00	X	5.78	µg/L	2	08/21/2012 9:35	80706



# Sample Summary

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Work Order: 12080803

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Fractions	<b>Collection Date</b>
12080803-001	Nat-East	Aqueous	5	08/16/2012 12:20



## **Dates Report**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12080803

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Collection Date	Received Date		
	Test Name		Checkers of a common of the contract	Prep Date/Time	Analysis Date/Time
12080803-001A	Nat-East	08/16/2012 12:20	08/17/2012 11:47		
	Standard Methods 2540 F			HTTE HIS DIKK O'SSEQUEN THE HERIOGREENS AND C	08/17/2012 12:57
12080803-001B	Nat-East Nat-East	08/16/2012 12:20	08/17/2012 11:47		
A DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF	EPA 600 375.2 Rev 2.0 1993 (Total)	the grant and deposed as an particular	CHANGADAR SUPETION EN IN THE STATE	See to the properties see all of season	08/21/2012 12:14
	Standard Method 4500-H B, Laboratory Analyzed				08/17/2012 14:45
	Standard Methods 2340 C				08/17/2012 13:45
	Standard Methods 2540 C (Total)				08/17/2012 13:11
	Standard Methods 2540 D				08/20/2012 12:50
2080803-001C	Nat-East	08/16/2012 12:20	08/17/2012 11:47		
an management of a security of	EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R4.4, Metals by ICP (Total)		ALTERNOON THE PROPERTY AND AND AND CONTRACTOR AND AND	08/17/2012 15:36	09/01/2012 22:59
	Standard Methods 3030 E, 3113 B, Metals by GFAA			08/17/2012 17:35	08/20/2012 13:24
2080803-001D	Nat-East	08/16/2012 12:20	08/17/2012 11:47		
	EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4, Metals by ICP (Dissolved)	EDITATION OF A STREET, EDITOR OF STREET	ECZYNORIZACION AND UNIQUE AND UNIQUE DY LOUIS ELECTRO	08/17/2012 13:26	09/04/2012 10:05
	Standard Methods 3030 B, 3113 B, Metals by GFAA (I	Dissolved)		08/17/2012 12:58	08/21/2012 9:35
2080803-001E	Nat-East	08/16/2012 12:20	08/17/2012 11:47		
MANAGEMENT AND THE PARTY OF THE	Standard Methods 5310 C, Organic Carbon	and the second control of the second control of the			08/20/2012 23:07



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12080803

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

EPA 600 375.2 REV 2	2.0 1993 (T	TOTAL)									
BANKS BEST AND AND THE STREET	ampType:	The state of the last	The state of the s	Units mg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Sulfate			10		< 10						08/20/2012
Batch R167131 S	ampType:	LCS	<del>osp</del>	Units mg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Sulfate			10		19	20	0	96.8	90	110	08/20/2012
Batch R167173 S	ampType:	MBLK		Units mg/L				* Pass Tos	Province Province Province		Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Sulfate			10		< 10						08/21/2012
Batch R167173 S	ampType:	LCS		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Sulfate			10		19	20	0	96.8	90	110	08/21/2012
Batch R167173 S SampID: 12080803-00	ampType: 1BMS	MS		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Sulfate			50	S	250	50	225.2	48.6	90	110	08/21/2012
Batch R167173 S SampID: 12080803-00	ampType: 1BMSD	MSD		Units mg/L					RPD	Limit 10	Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref \	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Sulfate			50	S	250	50	225.2	48.6	249.5	0.01	08/21/2012
STANDARD METHO	D 4500-H E	B, LAB	ORATO	RY ANALYZED							
	ampType:	Port Land St.		Units							Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lab pH			1.00		6.98	7.00	0	99.7	99.1	100.8	08/17/2012
Batch R167049 S SampID: 12080803-00	ampType: 1BDUP	DUP		Units					RPD	Limit 10	Date
Analyses			RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref \	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Lab pH			1.00		8.00			1000	8.000	0.00	08/17/2012



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12080803

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

STANDARD METHODS 2340 C									
Batch R167060 SampType: SampID: MB-R167060	MBLK	Units mg/L							Date
Analyses	RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Hardness, as ( CaCO3 )	5		< 5						08/17/2012
Batch R167060 SampType: SampID: LCS-R167060	LCS	Units mg/L					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	c c 1	Date
Analyses	RL	Oual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Hardness, as ( CaCO3 )	5		1000	1000	0	100.0	90	110	08/17/2012
Batch R167060 SampType: SampID: 12080803-001BMS	MS	Units mg/L						alda.	Date
Analyses	RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Hardness, as ( CaCO3 )	5		860	400	480.0	95.0	85	115	08/17/2012
Batch R167060 SampType: SampID: 12080803-001BMSD	MSD	Units mg/L					RPD	Limit 10	Date
Analyses	RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref	Val %RPD	Analyzed
Hardness, as ( CaCO3 )	5		880	400	480.0	100.0	860.0	2.30	08/17/2012
STANDARD METHODS 2540 C	(TOTAL)								
Batch R167104 SampType: SampID: MBLK	MBLK	Units mg/L							Date
Analyses	RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids Total Dissolved Solids	20 20		< 20 < 20						08/17/2012 08/17/2012
Batch R167104 SampType: SampID: LCS	LCS	Units mg/L							Date
Analyses	RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids	20		964	1000	0	96.4	90	110	08/17/2012
Total Dissolved Solids	20		968	1000	0	96.8	90	110	08/17/2012
Batch R167104 SampType: SampID: 12080803-001B DUP	DUP	Units mg/L					RPD	Limit 15	Date
Analyses	RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref \	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids	20		542			T. T	550.0	1.47	08/17/2012
STANDARD METHODS 2540 D									
Batch R167077 SampType:	MBLK	Units mg/L	- 3						Date
Analyses	RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Suspended Solids Total Suspended Solids	6.00		< 6.00 < 6						08/20/2012 08/20/2012



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Work Order: 12080803

STANDARD METHODS 2540 I Batch R167077 SampType:	DV USUDSUITS I	DA STATE	Units mg/L		EVALUE OF THE STATE OF THE STAT	1100	X 1 - 1 - 1	Strategy of the second	Alexander I amen	
SamplD: LCS	200		Office High							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Suspended Solids		6		107	100	0	107.0	85	115	08/20/2012
Total Suspended Solids		6		97	100	0	97.0	85	115	08/20/2012
Total Suspended Solids		6		87	100	0	87.0	85	115	08/20/2012
Total Suspended Solids		6		88	100	0	88.0	85	115	08/20/2012
Total Suspended Solids		6		94	100	0	94.0	85	115	08/20/2012
Batch R167077 SampType: SampID: 12080803-001B DUP	DUP		Units mg/L	- 1				RPD	Limit 15	Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref \	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Total Suspended Solids		6		< 6				0	0.00	08/20/2012
STANDARD METHODS 5310 (	c, org	ANIC CA	ARBON					Spenier C		
Batch R167121 SampType: SampID: ICB/MBLK	MBLK		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	Salar Burn Burn	1.0		< 1.0		11511 p= 3390 BB1919229 SHC-013	100 X 2015 144 2 3 151 2 151 2 151 2 151 2 151 2 151 2 151 2 151 2 151 2 151 2 151 2 151 2 151 2 151 2 151 2 1	3-11-15-15-24-11-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-15-		08/20/2012
Batch R167121 SampType: SampID: CCV/LCS	LCS		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)		5.0		48.0	48.2	0	99.6	90	110	08/20/2012
Batch R167121 SampType: SampID: 12080803-001EMS	MS		Units mg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	Showar No. 11.	1.0		5.4	5.0	0.8600	91.2	85	115	08/20/2012
Batch R167121 SampType: SampID: 12080803-001EMSD	MSD		Units mg/L						Limit 10	Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref \	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)		1.0		5.4	5.0	0.8600	91.2	5.420	0.00	08/20/2012
EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4, ME	TALS B	Y ICP (E	DISSOLVED)							
Batch 80707 SampType: SampID: MB-80707	MBLK		Units µg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium		2.00		< 2.00		0	0	-100	100	09/04/2012



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Work Order: 12080803

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

EPA 600 4.1.1, 200.7R4.4	METALS	BY ICP (I	DISSOLVED)							
	ype: LCS		Units µg/L	Carlo Description of the	· ·	and the second of the second o	A No. HOUSE CONTROL OF		HOUSE CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	MANAGEMENT OF STREET
SampID: LCS-80707										Date
		DI	Oval	D agult	Called	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Analyses Cadmium	10. H	2.00	Qual		Spike 50.0	0	94.4	85	115	09/04/2012
				47.2						
Zinc		10.0		490	500	0	98.1	85	115	09/04/2012
Batch 80707 Samp7	ype: MS	TE-	Units µg/L	2 " / 1	4.11		V 5		H 1 - 2	
SampID: 12080803-001DMS	3									Date
Analyses		RL	Oual	Regult	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium	بالبلالب الكب	2.00	Quai	46.2	50.0	0	92.4	75	125	09/04/2012
Zinc		10.0		506	500	24.4	96.4	75	125	09/04/2012
21110		10.0		300	300	24.4	50.4	75	125	03/04/2012
Batch 80707 SampT	ype: MSD		Units µg/L	14.4	715.7		and a city	RPE	Limit 20	
SampID: 12080803-001DMS	SD									Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref	Val %RPD	Analyzed
Cadmium	A THE PARTY OF THE	2.00	Quai	46.4	50.0	0	92.8	46.2	0.43	09/04/2012
Zinc		10.0		506	500	24.4	96.4	506.3	0.00	09/04/2012
2110		10.0		300	300	24.4	30.4	500.5	0.00	03/04/2012
EPA 600 4.1.4, 200.7R4.4,	METALS	BY ICP (1	TOTAL)		de la					
Batch 80712 SampT	ype: MBL	K	Units µg/L	P TOTAL						
SampID: MB-80712										Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spile	SPK Ref Val	%RFC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium		2.00	Quai	< 2.00	2.00	0	0	-100	100	09/01/2012
Cadmium		2.00		< 2.00	2.00	0	0	-100	100	08/18/2012
Zinc		10.0		< 10.0	10.0	0	0	-100	100	09/01/2012
Zinc		10.0		< 10.0	10.0	0	0	-100	100	08/18/2012
Batch 80712 SampT	ype: LCS		Units µg/L	11.5					5.8.1	
SampID: LCS-80712										Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Snike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium	A Adjust and the state of the s	2.00	Quai	50.4	50.0	0	100.8	85	115	08/18/2012
Cadmium		2.00		50.3	50.0	0	100.6	85	115	09/01/2012
Zinc		10.0		530	500	0	105.9	85	115	09/01/2012
Zinc		10.0		526	500	0	105.3	85	115	08/18/2012
Batch 80712 SampT	ype: MS		Units µg/L					1905 - Cont. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
SampID: 12080803-001CMS										Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Snike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Cadmium		2.00	Q stork	47.7	50.0	0	95.4	75	125	09/01/2012
Zinc		10.0		525	500	26.1	99.8	75	125	09/01/2012
ZIIIO		10.0		323	500	20.1	33.0	75	125	03/01/2012
Batch 80712 SampT	ype: MSD	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Units µg/L	THE PERSON NAMED IN	121.5			RPD	Limit 20	112 112 119 119 119
SampID: 12080803-001CMS	D									Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Regult	Snike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref \	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Cadmium		2.00	Quai	46.9	50.0	0	93.8	47.7	1.69	09/01/2012
Zinc		10.0		515	500	26.1	97.7	525.1	2.00	09/01/2012
Z1110		10.0		010	000	20.1	31.1	020.1	2.00	03/01/2012



http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company

Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003

Work Order: 12080803

		COLUMN TWO	ALS BY GFA	ASSESSMENT THE WARRE	MANUFACTURE STATES	NEW SERVICE THE RESERVE TO SERVE THE SERVE TH	CHECK TOWNS OF STREET			5. 法DED地位的股份。
Batch 80718 SampType: SampID: MB-80718	MBLK		Units µg/L							Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lead		2.00		< 2.00	2.00	0	0	-100	100	08/20/2012
Batch 80718 SampType: SampID: LCS-80718	LCS		Units µg/L			\$				Date
Analyses	. = 1	RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lead		2.00		15.3	15.0	0	102.1	85	115	08/20/2012
Batch 80718 SampType: SampID: 12080803-001CMS	MS		Units µg/L			A Parameter State of the State				Date
Analyses	. A	RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Lead		4.00		24.0	15.0	7.4101	110.6	70	130	08/20/2012
Batch 80718 SampType: SampID: 12080803-001CMSD	MSD		Units µg/L					RPD	Limit 20	Date
Analyses		RL	Qual	Result	Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	RPD Ref \	/al %RPD	Analyzed
Lead		4.00		22.3	15.0	7.4101	99.3	24.0027	7.31	08/20/2012
STANDARD METHODS 3030 E	3, 3113	B, META	ALS BY GFAA	A (DISSOL	VED)					
Batch 80706 SampType:	Carlo Causto Robert	COURSE STORAGE WILLIAM	Units µg/L	A (DISSOL	VED)					Date
Batch 80706 SampType:	Carlo Causto Robert	COURSE STORAGE WILLIAM		A (DISSOL		SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Date Analyzed
Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: MB-80706	Carlo Causto Robert		Units µg/L		Spike	SPK Ref Val	%REC	Low Limit	High Limit	Analyzed
Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: MB-80706 Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType:	MBLK	RL	Units µg/L	Result	Spike	0	0	COLUMN TO THE EXPRESSION OF THE PARTY OF THE	100	Analyzed 08/21/2012 Date
Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: MB-80706 Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType:	MBLK	RL	Units µg/L Qual	Result	Spike 2.00	0	0	COLUMN TO THE EXPRESSION OF THE PARTY OF THE	100 High Limit	Analyzed  08/21/2012  Date Analyzed
Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: MB-80706  Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: LCS-80706	MBLK	RL 2.00	Units µg/L  Qual  Units µg/L	Result	Spike 2.00	0	0	-100	100	Analyzed  08/21/2012  Date Analyzed
Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: MB-80706  Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: LCS-80706  Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType:	MBLK	RL 2.00	Units µg/L  Qual  Units µg/L	Result <2.00  Result 13.4	Spike 2.00 Spike 15.0	O SPK Ref Val 0	%REC 89.3	-100 Low Limit 85	High Limit	Analyzed  08/21/2012  Date Analyzed  08/21/2012  Date
Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: MB-80706  Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: LCS-80706  Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType:	MBLK	RL 2.00	Units µg/L  Qual  Units µg/L  Qual	Result <2.00  Result 13.4	Spike 2.00 Spike 15.0	0 SPK Ref Val	%REC 89.3	Low Limit 85	100 High Limit	Date Analyzed  08/21/2012  Date Analyzed  Date Analyzed
Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: MB-80706  Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: LCS-80706  Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: 12080803-001DMS	MBLK	RL 2.00	Units µg/L  Qual  Units µg/L  Qual  Units µg/L	Result <2.00  Result 13.4	Spike 2.00  Spike 15.0	O SPK Ref Val 0	%REC 89.3	-100 Low Limit 85	High Limit	Analyzed  08/21/2012  Date Analyzed  08/21/2012
Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: MB-80706 Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: LCS-80706 Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: 12080803-001DMS Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: 32080803-001DMS Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType:	MBLK LCS	RL 2.00  RL 2.00	Units µg/L  Qual  Units µg/L  Qual  Units µg/L	Result 13.4  Result 18.3	Spike 2.00  Spike 15.0  Spike 15.0	O SPK Ref Val 0 SPK Ref Val 5.7792	%REC 89.3 %REC 83.2	Low Limit 85  Low Limit 70	High Limit 115  High Limit 130  Limit 20	Date Analyzed  08/21/2012  Date Analyzed  08/21/2012  Date Analyzed  08/21/2012
SampID: MB-80706  Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: LCS-80706  Analyses Lead  Batch 80706 SampType: SampID: 12080803-001DMS  Analyses Lead	MBLK LCS	RL 2.00  RL 2.00	Units µg/L  Qual  Units µg/L  Qual  Units µg/L  Qual	Result 13.4  Result 18.3	Spike 2.00  Spike 15.0  Spike 15.0	O SPK Ref Val	%REC 89.3 %REC 83.2	Low Limit 85  Low Limit 70	High Limit 115  High Limit 130	Date Analyzed  08/21/2012  Date Analyzed  08/21/2012  Date Analyzed  08/21/2012



Custody seal(s) intact on shipping container/cooler. RK/TWM 8/17/12

### **Receiving Check List**

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: Barr Engineering Company Work Order: 12080803 Client Project: National MTS-25/86-0003 Report Date: 04-Sep-12 Received By: JMH Carrier: Ron Korte Elizabeth a Hurley Reviewed by: Completed by: On: On: 17-Aug-12 17-Aug-12 Timothy W. Mathis Elizabeth A. Hurley Pages to follow: Chain of custody Extra pages included Yes 🗹 Shipping container/cooler in good condition? No 🗌 Not Present Temp °C 3.6 Ice 🗹 Type of thermal preservation? Blue Ice None Dry Ice Chain of custody present?  $\checkmark$ No 🔲 Yes Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? Yes No | Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? Yes No 🗀 Samples in proper container/bottle? **V** Yes No 🗆 ~ Sample containers intact? Yes Yes 🗸 Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? No 🗌 **V** All samples received within holding time? Yes No 🗌 Field Lab 🗹 Reported field parameters measured: Yes 🗹 Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance? No 🗌 When thermal preservation is required, samples are compliant with a temperature between 0.1°C - 6.0°C, or when samples are received on ice the same day as collected. Water - at least one vial per sample has zero headspace? Yes 📙 No VOA vials No 🗀 Water - TOX containers have zero headspace? Yes 🗌 No 🗌 No TOX containers ~ No 🗌 Water - pH acceptable upon receipt? No 🗌 NPDES/CWA TCN interferences checked/treated in the field? Yes NA

Any No responses must be detailed below or on the CQC.

### **Teklab Chain of Custody**

5445 Horseshoe Lake Road ~ Collinsville, IL 62234 ~ Phone: (618)344-1004 ~ Fax:(618)344-1005 Are the samples chilled? • Yes O No with: • Ice O Blue ice Barr Engineering Co. Cooler Temp 3. 6 Sampler Chris Schulte 1001 Diamond Ridge, Suite 1100 65109 Jefferson City MO Invoice to Mark Nations. Results to Allison Olds and Mark N Comments Matrix is surface water. National MTS - 25/86-0003 Metals = Cd, Pb, ZnContact Allison Olds aolds@barr.com eMail Phone 573-638-5007 Requested Due Date Standard Billi CUSTODY SEAL CUTACT UPON **Fotal Dissolved** APPROVE CONSTER P/4 8.17.12 Lab Use Sample ID Sample Date/Time Preservative Matrix 12000103 8-16-0 |X|X X Nat-East 19:21) Unpres 5 X Aqueous ردا Unpres Aqueous Date/Time Relinquished By \* 3-00

\* The individual signing this agreement on behalf of client acknowledges that they have read and understand the terms of this agreement and that they have the authority and the terms of this agreement and that they have the authority and the terms of this agreement and that they have the authority and the terms of this agreement and that they have the authority and the terms of this agreement and that they have the authority and the terms of this agreement and that they have the authority and the terms of this agreement and that they have the authority and the terms of this agreement and the terms of this agreement and the terms of th